

Dear Sir Muir-Russell, you state that the Inquiry will be "independent" and that "None [of the Team] have any links to the Climatic Research Unit, or the United Nations Independent Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)."

This is not the case with Professor Boulton;

1) From his C.V. " 1968-86 School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia. Lecturer: 1968-76, Reader: 1976-86 (half-time, 1982-86)"

2) CONTRIBUTIONS TO SCIENCE & RESEARCH POLICY As contributor to G8 Preparatory Groups and Intergovernmental Panels on climate change

3) and recently in his role as General Secretary, The Royal Society of Edinburgh he stated "We have the evidence, we have a consensus on scientific interpretation, we have the investment, we know (Stern) that mitigation now rather than later is cheaper. But, we have not sorted out the politics and started to adapt behaviour to minimize risks. We cannot do this without public support. If we fail, we will be risking the consequences of catastrophic climate changes.

Interestingly he was accompanied by Dr Andrew Dlugolecki, Visiting Fellow, Tyndall Centre, University of East Anglia.

So, Professor Boulton has past and present links with UEA , has links with the IPCC and has fixed views on the validity and outcome of Climate Change.

And you, of all people, have the gall to call this man independent.

If you keep Boulton, your Inquiry will have no credibility and neither will you.

Do you really want History to remember you as a patsy?

Dr. D. R. Keiller