

Hi:

"Examine the hacked e-mail exchanges, other relevant e-mail exchanges and any other information held at the Climate Research Unit to determine whether there is any evidence of manipulation or suppression of data which is at odds with acceptable scientific practice and may therefore call into question any of the research outcomes."

So data that have been suppressed in a way that is not "at odds with acceptable scientific practice" will not be part of the review?

I suggest that you give please a definition of the suppression of data that is in accordance with "acceptable scientific practice"

Otherwise you leave your review open to, perhaps, some queries.

Regards

Dr Austin Woods