

Dear Sir Muir,

I would like to draw your attention to this question from the FAQ section on your review's website:-

"Do any of the Review team members have a predetermined view on climate change and climate science?"

No. Members of the research team come from a variety of scientific backgrounds. They were selected on the basis they have no prejudicial interest in climate change and climate science and for the contribution they can make to the issues the Review is looking at".

With regards to Professor Geoffrey Boulton, I cannot understand how your review's website can claim that Prof. Boulton does not have a " predetermined view on climate change and climate science" when the evidence to the contrary is absolutely overwhelming.

Please use this link to find Prof. Boulton's signature on a statement supporting climate science - <http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climatechange/news/latest/uk-science-statement.html>

Please use this link to find slides used by Prof. Boulton during a public presentation called "Adapting to climate change: the space between science and politics", in order to support the theory of climate change and the underlying climate science - http://www.rse.org.uk/enquiries/climate_change/talks_slides/boulton_slides.pdf

There are many more examples; if you wish to see them, just let me know.

In light of Prof. Boulton's public views on climate change and climate science, is it credible for your website to claim that no members of your review team have a "predetermined view on climate change and climate science"? If so, can you explain to me how you have come to that conclusion?

It is very important for your review to maintain its credibility. I cannot see how this can be achieved with Prof. Boulton remaining on the review team.

Yours Faithfully,

Will Hawkes