

Hi,

I understand that the review is supposed to be composed of impartial, unbiased members.

From the Review website:-  
seen here on its official website.

Do any of the Review team members have a predetermined view on climate change and climate science?

No. Members of the research team come from a variety of scientific backgrounds. They were selected on the basis they have no prejudicial interest in climate change and climate science and for the contribution they can make to the issues the Review is looking at.

How then can you select this global warming fanatic as an "unbiased" reviewer?

Professor Geoffrey Boulton?

- \* spent 18 years at the school of Environmental Sciences at the University of East Anglia
- \* works in an office almost next door to a member of the Hockey Team
- \* says the argument over climate change is over
- \* tours the country lecturing on the dangers of climate change
- \* believes the Himalayan glaciers will be gone by 2050
- \* signed up to a statement supporting the consensus in the wake of Climategate, which spoke of scientists adhering to the highest standards of integrity
- \* could fairly be described as a global warming doommonger
- \* is quite happy to discuss "denial" in the context of the climate debate.

Inquiring minds would like to know!

regards

Patrick Keane