

Orbit-counting in non-hyperbolic arithmetic dynamical systems

(with Everest, Miles, Stevens)

UK-Japan Winter School

Dynamics and Arithmetics

Nowton Court, Bury St Edmunds, 12 January 2006

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a 'hyperbolic' map (suitably interpreted to include Axiom A, expansive group automorphisms, group endomorphisms with expansive invertible extension and so on) with topological entropy $h = h(f)$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a 'hyperbolic' map (suitably interpreted to include Axiom A, expansive group automorphisms, group endomorphisms with expansive invertible extension and so on) with topological entropy $h = h(f)$.

A *closed orbit* τ of length $|\tau| = n$ is a set of the form

$$\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots, f^n(x) = x\}$$

with cardinality n .

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a 'hyperbolic' map (suitably interpreted to include Axiom A, expansive group automorphisms, group endomorphisms with expansive invertible extension and so on) with topological entropy $h = h(f)$.

A closed orbit τ of length $|\tau| = n$ is a set of the form

$$\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots, f^n(x) = x\}$$

with cardinality n .

Then

$$\pi_f(X) = \#\{\tau \mid |\tau| \leq X\} \sim \frac{e^{h(X+1)}}{X(e^h - 1)},$$

like the Prime Number Theorem,

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a 'hyperbolic' map (suitably interpreted to include Axiom A, expansive group automorphisms, group endomorphisms with expansive invertible extension and so on) with topological entropy $h = h(f)$.

A closed orbit τ of length $|\tau| = n$ is a set of the form

$$\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots, f^n(x) = x\}$$

with cardinality n .

Then

$$\pi_f(X) = \#\{\tau \mid |\tau| \leq X\} \sim \frac{e^{h(X+1)}}{X(e^h - 1)},$$

like the Prime Number Theorem,

and

$$\mathcal{M}_f(X) = \sum_{|\tau| \leq X} \frac{1}{e^{h|\tau|}} \sim \log X + C.$$

like Mertens' Theorem. (These are results of Parry, Pollicott, Sharp and others).

Without hyperbolicity, less is known. For ergodic toral automorphisms that are not hyperbolic, Waddington shows

$$\pi(X) \sim \frac{e^{h(X+1)}}{h} \sum_{\rho \in U} K(\rho) \frac{\rho^{X+1}}{\rho e^h - 1},$$

for some integers $K(\rho)$ and U the (finite) set of eigenvalues of modulus one.

Without hyperbolicity, less is known. For ergodic toral automorphisms that are not hyperbolic, Waddington shows

$$\pi(X) \sim \frac{e^{h(X+1)}}{h} \sum_{\rho \in U} K(\rho) \frac{\rho^{X+1}}{\rho e^h - 1},$$

for some integers $K(\rho)$ and U the (finite) set of eigenvalues of modulus one.

What happens to these results for the simplest non-hyperbolic systems?

Without hyperbolicity, less is known. For ergodic toral automorphisms that are not hyperbolic, Waddington shows

$$\pi(X) \sim \frac{e^{h(X+1)}}{h} \sum_{\rho \in U} K(\rho) \frac{\rho^{X+1}}{\rho e^h - 1},$$

for some integers $K(\rho)$ and U the (finite) set of eigenvalues of modulus one.

What happens to these results for the simplest non-hyperbolic systems?

Setting: fix a number field \mathbb{K} , with set of places $P(\mathbb{K})$, infinite places $P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$, an element $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ of infinite multiplicative order, and a finite set $S \subset P(\mathbb{K}) \setminus P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$ with $|\xi|_w \leq 1$ for $w \notin S \cup P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$.

Setting: fix a number field \mathbb{K} , with set of places $P(\mathbb{K})$, infinite places $P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$, an element $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ of infinite multiplicative order, and a finite set $S \subset P(\mathbb{K}) \setminus P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$ with $|\xi|_w \leq 1$ for $w \notin S \cup P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$.

Associate to this a ring of S -integers,

$$R_S = \{x \in \mathbb{K} \mid |x|_w \leq 1 \text{ for all } w \notin S \cup P_\infty(\mathbb{K})\}$$

and a map $T : X \rightarrow X$ dual to $x \mapsto \xi x$ on R_S .

Simplest examples:

- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \emptyset$, $\xi = 2$, map is $x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$ on the circle;
 $S = \{2\}$ gives its invertible extension.

Simplest examples:

- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \emptyset$, $\xi = 2$, map is $x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$ on the circle; $S = \{2\}$ gives its invertible extension.
- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \{2, 3\}$, $\xi = 2$, map is an extension of the (invertible extension of the) circle-doubling map by a \mathbb{Z}_3 -valued cocycle.

Simplest examples:

- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \emptyset$, $\xi = 2$, map is $x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$ on the circle; $S = \{2\}$ gives its invertible extension.
- $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \{2, 3\}$, $\xi = 2$, map is an extension of the (invertible extension of the) circle-doubling map by a \mathbb{Z}_3 -valued cocycle.
- ξ a Salem number, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$, $S = \emptyset$ gives a quasihyperbolic toral automorphism.

Any place w with $|\xi|_w = 1$ behaves like a non-hyperbolic eigenvalue.

There is a simple formula for the periodic points,

$$\mathcal{F}_T(n) = \prod_{w \in SUP_\infty(\mathbb{K})} |\xi^n - 1|_w,$$

There is a simple formula for the periodic points,

$$\mathcal{F}_T(n) = \prod_{w \in SUP_\infty(\mathbb{K})} |\xi^n - 1|_w,$$

so the number of orbits of length n is

$$\mathcal{O}_T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \prod_{w \in SUP_\infty(\mathbb{K})} |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

by Möbius inversion;

hence

$$\pi_T(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \prod_w |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

where w is restricted to run through the places in $S \cup P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$ only (both here and below).

hence

$$\pi_T(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \prod_w |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

where w is restricted to run through the places in $S \cup P_\infty(\mathbb{K})$ only (both here and below).

Simple estimates show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{F}_T(n) \rightarrow h(T) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so we divide out by the expected asymptotic, writing

$$\Pi_T(N) = \frac{N \pi_T(N)}{e^{h(T)(N+1)}}.$$

Theorem: For the '2,3' map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$,

Theorem: For the '2,3' map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$,

- the sequence $(\Pi_T(N))$ does not converge (there is no single asymptotic);

Theorem: For the '2,3' map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$,

- the sequence $(\Pi_T(N))$ does not converge (there is no single asymptotic);
- indeed, $(\Pi_T(N_j))$ converges if and only if (2^{N_j}) converges in \mathbb{Z}_3 , so there are uncountably many limit points;

Theorem: For the '2,3' map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$,

- the sequence $(\Pi_T(N))$ does not converge (there is no single asymptotic);
- indeed, $(\Pi_T(N_j))$ converges if and only if (2^{N_j}) converges in \mathbb{Z}_3 , so there are uncountably many limit points;
- the upper and lower limits are transcendental.

Theorem: For the '2,3' map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]$,

- the sequence $(\Pi_T(N))$ does not converge (there is no single asymptotic);
- indeed, $(\Pi_T(N_j))$ converges if and only if (2^{N_j}) converges in \mathbb{Z}_3 , so there are uncountably many limit points;
- the upper and lower limits are transcendental.

The proof consists of extremely careful counting.

Similar results hold more generally, but with less precise knowledge.

Similar results hold more generally, but with less precise knowledge.

Theorem: For T a map built with S finite,

- $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) > 0$;

Similar results hold more generally, but with less precise knowledge.

Theorem: For T a map built with S finite,

- $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) > 0;$
- $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) \leq 1;$

Similar results hold more generally, but with less precise knowledge.

Theorem: For T a map built with S finite,

- $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) > 0$;
- $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) \leq 1$;
- there is an associated compact group X^* and element $a_T \in X^*$ which ‘detects’ asymptotic growth: if $a_T^{N_j}$ converges in X^* , then $\Pi_T(N_j)$ converges in \mathbb{R} .

Similar results hold more generally, but with less precise knowledge.

Theorem: For T a map built with S finite,

- $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) > 0$;
- $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_T(N) \leq 1$;
- there is an associated compact group X^* and element $a_T \in X^*$ which ‘detects’ asymptotic growth: if $a_T^{N_j}$ converges in X^* , then $\Pi_T(N_j)$ converges in \mathbb{R} .

For a hyperbolic map, X^* is trivial.

Mertens' Theorem is a bit more involved.

Theorem: For the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, there are constants $k_T \in \mathbb{Q}$, C_T such that

$$\mathcal{M}_T(N) = k_T \log N + C_T + O(1/N).$$

The constant k_T is computable via an inclusion-exclusion argument:

The constant k_T is computable via an inclusion-exclusion argument:

- for the '2,3' map, $k_T = \frac{5}{8}$;

The constant k_T is computable via an inclusion-exclusion argument:

- for the '2,3' map, $k_T = \frac{5}{8}$;

- for the map with $\xi = 2$, $S = \{3, 5\}$,

$$k_T = \frac{55}{96};$$

The constant k_T is computable via an inclusion-exclusion argument:

- for the '2,3' map, $k_T = \frac{5}{8}$;

- for the map with $\xi = 2$, $S = \{3, 5\}$,

$$k_T = \frac{55}{96};$$

- for the map with $\xi = 2$, $S = \{3, 7\}$,

$$k_T = \frac{269}{576}.$$

The constant k_T is computable via an inclusion-exclusion argument:

- for the '2,3' map, $k_T = \frac{5}{8}$;

- for the map with $\xi = 2$, $S = \{3, 5\}$,

$$k_T = \frac{55}{96};$$

- for the map with $\xi = 2$, $S = \{3, 7\}$,

$$k_T = \frac{269}{576}.$$

For larger fields – in particular for fields large enough to contain Salem numbers – life is harder.

Theorem: For an S -integer map T with S finite, there are constants $k_T \in \mathbb{Q}$, C_T , $\delta > 0$ with

$$\mathcal{M}_T(N) = k_T \log N + C_T + O(N^{-\delta}).$$

Baby proof of Mertens' Theorem (no error term)

Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_T(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{\prod_{w|d} (\xi^d - 1|_w)}{e^{hn}} \right).$$

Baby proof of Mertens' Theorem (no error term)

Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_T(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{\prod_w |\xi^d - 1|_w}{e^{hn}} \right).$$

Let

$$C(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w \neq 1} |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

and

$$D(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w = 1} |\xi^n - 1|_w.$$

Baby proof of Mertens' Theorem (no error term)

Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_T(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) \left(\frac{\prod_w |\xi^d - 1|_w}{e^{hn}} \right).$$

Let

$$C(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w \neq 1} |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

and

$$D(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w = 1} |\xi^n - 1|_w.$$

Define

$$F(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} D(n),$$

and

$$h^* = \prod_{\substack{|\xi|_w > 1, \\ w|\infty}} |\xi|_w$$

(the Archimedean part of the entropy).

Then $\mathcal{M}_T(N) - F(N)$ can be written as the difference between a sum of a convergent series and $O(e^{-h^*N})$. Thus it is enough to consider $F(N)$.

Then $\mathcal{M}_T(N) - F(N)$ can be written as the difference between a sum of a convergent series and $O(e^{-h^*N})$. Thus it is enough to consider $F(N)$.

Lemma: Let g be an element of a compact abelian group G . Then the sequence (g^n) is uniformly distributed in the smallest closed subgroup of G containing g .

Then $\mathcal{M}_T(N) - F(N)$ can be written as the difference between a sum of a convergent series and $O(e^{-h^*N})$. Thus it is enough to consider $F(N)$.

Lemma: Let g be an element of a compact abelian group G . Then the sequence (g^n) is uniformly distributed in the smallest closed subgroup of G containing g .

Define X^* to be the product of a circle for each infinite non-hyperbolic place, and the maximal compact subring in \mathbb{K}_w for each finite non-hyperbolic place; a_T is ξ suitably embedded in each.

The function

$$x \mapsto \prod_{|\xi|_w=1} |x - \mathbf{1}|_w$$

is continuous on X^* , so

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D(n) \rightarrow k_T \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

by the Lemma, where

$$k_T = \int_{X^*} \prod_{|\xi|_w=1} |x - \mathbf{1}|_w d\mu_{X^*}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(N) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \sum_{m=1}^n D(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=1}^N D(m) \\ &\sim k_T \log N, \end{aligned}$$

giving the result without error term.

The full result takes more work.

The full result takes more work.

The hyperbolic part of the calculation can be done easily, so assume now that $|\xi|_w = 1$ for all $w \in S$ and write $|\cdot|_S$ for $\prod_{w \in S} |\cdot|_w$.

The full result takes more work.

The hyperbolic part of the calculation can be done easily, so assume now that $|\xi|_w = 1$ for all $w \in S$ and write $|\cdot|_S$ for $\prod_{w \in S} |\cdot|_w$.

Lemma: If M is an integral S -unit, then the solutions of

$$|\xi^n - 1|_S = \frac{1}{M}$$

comprise $O(M^{1-1/d})$ cosets mod ρM for some fixed ρ and $d > 0$, independent of M .

The full result takes more work.

The hyperbolic part of the calculation can be done easily, so assume now that $|\xi|_w = 1$ for all $w \in S$ and write $|\cdot|_S$ for $\prod_{w \in S} |\cdot|_w$.

Lemma: If M is an integral S -unit, then the solutions of

$$|\xi^n - 1|_S = \frac{1}{M}$$

comprise $O(M^{1-1/d})$ cosets mod ρM for some fixed ρ and $d > 0$, independent of M .

Write \sum' for a sum taken only over integral S -units.

Lemma: For any $c > 0$,

$$\sum_{M>X} \frac{\log M}{M^c} = O(1/X^e),$$

for any $e < c$.

If there is no infinite non-hyperbolicity life is easier:

Theorem: There are constants $K \in \mathbb{Q}$, c such that

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{|\xi^n - 1|_S}{n} = k \log N + c + O(1/N).$$

If there is no infinite non-hyperbolicity life is easier:

Theorem: There are constants $K \in \mathbb{Q}$, c such that

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{|\xi^n - 1|_S}{n} = k \log N + c + O(1/N).$$

The proof uses a combinatorial argument and standard estimates related to Euler summation.

If there is no infinite non-hyperbolicity life is easier:

Theorem: There are constants $K \in \mathbb{Q}$, c such that

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{|\xi^n - 1|_S}{n} = k \log N + c + O(1/N).$$

The proof uses a combinatorial argument and standard estimates related to Euler summation.

The presence of Salem-like behaviour creates problems.

The presence of Salem-like behaviour creates problems.

Theorem: Let a denote a complex algebraic number with $|a| = 1$ and a not a root of unity. Then for some $\delta > 0$ and constant ℓ ,

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{a^n |\xi^n - 1|_S}{n} = \ell + O(N^{-\delta}).$$

The presence of Salem-like behaviour creates problems.

Theorem: Let a denote a complex algebraic number with $|a| = 1$ and a not a root of unity. Then for some $\delta > 0$ and constant ℓ ,

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{a^n |\xi^n - 1|_S}{n} = \ell + O(N^{-\delta}).$$

The proof uses Abel summation, the Lemmas above, and Baker's Theorem.

This allows the proof to be assembled: write

$$D(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w=1} |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w|\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \times \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w<\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \\ &= f(a_1^n, \dots, a_r^n) \times \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w<\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \end{aligned}$$

where f is an integral polynomial in r variables, and $a_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ are multiplicatively independent.

This allows the proof to be assembled: write

$$D(n) = \prod_{|\xi|_w=1} |\xi^n - 1|_w$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w|\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \times \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w<\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \\ &= f(a_1^n, \dots, a_r^n) \times \prod_{|\xi|_w=1, w<\infty} |\xi^n - 1|_w \end{aligned}$$

where f is an integral polynomial in r variables, and $a_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ are multiplicatively independent.

Each part of this expression has been dealt with, giving the asymptotic

for

$$\sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{n} D(n)$$

which is close enough to the quantity we are after.